UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/588,491	06/07/2007	Ralf Dunkel	2400.0690000/VLC/CMB	8409
	7590 02/23/201 SLER, GOLDSTEIN &	EXAMINER		
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W.	SOLOLA, TAOFIQ A		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/588,491	DUNKEL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Taofiq A. Solola	1625		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPORTENCE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT IS IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IT IS IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IT IS IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILING IT IS IN THE MAILING IT IN THE MAILIN	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12.	<u>January 2010</u> .			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are withdrawn f Claim(s) <u>1.3 and 4</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1.3 and 4</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>and 5-8</u> are subject to restriction and/	rom consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ccepted or b) objected to by the E e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen		_			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 8/4/06,9/4/09.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-9 are pending in this application.

Claim 9 is non-elected.

Response to Restriction

The election of group I, claims 1-3, in the Paper filed 1/12/10 is hereby acknowledged. Having found the elected invention in condition for allowance, groups II-III drawn to a process of making and methods of use are rejoined. The restriction of claim 9 is still deemed proper and therefore is made FINAL.

Applicant elects group I, M as formula M-I and A as formula AI. There is no indication the election is made with or without traverse. Therefore, it is deemed made without traverse.

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention lacks patentable utility. Under US patent practice, a use claim without setting forth the steps involved in the process is an improper definition of a process, under 35 U.S.C. See *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd. App, 1967) and *Clin. Products v. Brenner*, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C., 1966). By deleting the claim the rejection would be overcome.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 cites negative limitations which renders the claim indefinite and unpatentable. It does not comply with 35 USC 112. "It is [an] attempt to claim invention by excluding what

applicants did not invent rather than by particularly and distinctly pointing out what they did invent." *In re Schechter,* 98 USPQ 144 (CCPA, 1953), *In re Rose*, 86 USPQ 77 (CCPA, 1950). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 5 is confusing and not clear. Therefore, it is indefinite. If applicant intends to claim composition it should recite composition.

Claims 6-7 are substantial duplicates. Under the US patent practice duplicates or substantial duplicate claims cannot be in the same application. By deleting claim 6 the rejection would be overcome.

The term "synthesizing" and "mixing" in claim 8 are not the same. Therefore, it is indefinite. Appropriate correction is required.

Objection

Claims 1-8 are objected to for containing non-elected subject matter. The claims must be amended within the scope of the election by applicant, and claim 9 must be deleted to place the application in condition for allowance.

Telephone Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Taofiq A. Solola, PhD. JD., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0709.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Application/Control Number: 10/588,491

Art Unit: 1625

/Taofiq A. Solola/

Primary Examiner, 1625

Page 4

February 21, 2010